Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,180	GRABENSTETTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven R. Garland	2125
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Steven R. Garland</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. McLaughlin .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 August 2006	Time: afternoon	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 4,12,13		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: the examiner proposed to make certain changes to the application to place the application in better from for allowance. Mr. McLaughlin agreed to the proposed changes set forth in the examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Ster 1- Harles		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)